## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: DeLoach et al. Art Unit: 2822

Serial No.: 10/689,177 Examiner: Guerrero, M.

Filing Date: 10/20/2003 Docket No.: TI-35986

Customer No.: 23494 Conf. No.: 2711

Title: AN IN SITU HARDMASK PULLBACK USING AN IN SITU PLASMA RESIST

TRIM PROCESS

## **REPLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.111**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The following remarks are offered in response to the Examiner's Office Action dated October 11, 2006. They are respectfully submitted as a full and complete response to that Action.

## **REMARKS**

Reconsideration of the above-referenced application in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-20 are pending in this case.

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 3, and 5-7 under 35 U.S.C.§ 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tseng (U.S. Patent 6,093,621), of record, in view of Nagarajan (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0166838).

Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 is patentable over Tseng in view of Nagarajan as there is no disclosure or suggestion in the references of trimming a TI-35986